UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/677,471	10/02/2003	Kevin P. Baker	10466/484	1021
7590 02/13/2007 C. Noel Kaman BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610			EXAMINER	
			VOGEL, NANCY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/13/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/677,471	BAKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nancy T. Vogel	1636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status .	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2006.						
<i>'</i> —	·—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-28 and 35-40</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 25-28 and 35-40 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or decitor requirement.						
Application Papers .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/677,471

**Art Unit: 1636** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 25-28, 35-40 are pending in the case.

## **Priority**

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. j (120 or 1 19(e)) as follows:

The second application (which is called a continuing application) must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the first application (the parent or provisional application; the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the continuing application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See In re Ahlbrecht, 168 USPQ 293 (CCPA 1971).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 25-28 and 35-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

This rejection is maintained essentially for the reasons made of record in the previous Office action, mailed 5/19/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,471

Art Unit: 1636

Applicant's arguments, filed 11/13/06, have been considered but have not been found convincing.

Applicants have argued that the utility of the claims PRO361 polypeptide is based on the positive result in the MLR assay, and that one would find it credible that the PRO361 was useful as an immunosuppressive molecule (page 6). Applicants disagree with the Examiner's position, which was previously set forth in the Non-final Office action, and the Examiner's Answer prior to the Non-final Office action, in which it was argued that insufficient information is present in the specification to show that the PRO361 molecule is useful as an immunosuppressive molecule, since the only results disclosed, i.e. the statement that the PRO361 gave a "positive" result, wherein a positive result in considered to be present when any value less than the control indicates an inhibitory effect for the test protein. Applicants argue that they need not provide any further results to show that the PRO361 protein is useful as an immunosuppressor molecule., and that since the assay is "a comparative assay", relative values only are needed. However, as was previously stated, it cannot be determined that the PRO361 protein would be useful since the results are not convincing. Standard experimental data, such as statistical analysis, and numerical values, are not disclosed. The specification does not provide any values or data for the proteins tested in the assay. The specification does not provide any statistics for the values measured in the assay. The specification provides no information at all regarding the results of the assay except that a certain protein tested positive and the statement that "any value less than control indicates an inhibitory effect for the test protein".

Application/Control Number: 10/677,471 Page 4

Art Unit: 1636

Applicants further argue that in "CMFT the court only required that there be some meaningful results satisfying the claimed goal"; however, as is argued above, the result disclosed in the specification does not appear to be "meaningful" since one of skill in the art would not accept its significance, and therefore the utility of the polypeptide in inhibiting the proliferation of stimulated T-lymphocytes has not been convincingly shown. For these reasons, it is maintained that a specific and substantial utility has not been provided for the claimed invention.

Claims 25-28 and 35-40 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments regarding these rejections, filed 11/13/06 have been considered, but have not been found to be convincing. Applicants have argued that for the same reasons as set forth above, the claimed polypeptide has a specific, substantial and credible utility and that the rejection under 35 USC 112 p.1 for alleged inadequate disclosure shown be withdrawn. However, for the reasons set forth above, the arguments are not found convincing and the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1636

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy T. Vogel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 3:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NV 2/5/07

NANCY VOGEL PRIMARY EXAMINER